

DIRECTIONS FOR JUDGES:

1. *Make a copy of this document by selecting "File" at the top left-hand corner and clicking "Make a copy." You should be redirected to another identical document.*
2. *Change the words in [] in the new document and its title to the applicable information. Delete the "copy of" at the beginning of the document title.*
3. *When finished, send this document to envisionbywistem@gmail.com by clicking the "Share" button at the top right hand corner.*
4. *Spot the "Get Link" section and click "Change to anyone with the link" if that option is not already selected.*
5. *Copy the link and email it to envisionbywistem@gmail.com in an email titled the same as the document title. Please only include one link per email.*

ENVISION 2020

Entry: [Krishnaveni Dole]

Judge: [Collins] ([glcollins1@crimson.ua.edu])

1. Significance - The contestant's proposal either aims to solve a small inconvenience that affects a large population or a problem with a large impact that affects a small population. There is a literature review which supports the significance of the problem.
2. Feasibility - The contestant's interpretation of all pre-existing technology, methods, and concepts she describes in the proposal are correct. If the contestant can take all the steps, the study will succeed.
3. Innovation - How novel and creative is the proposal idea? Is it different from pre-existing concepts?
4. Approach - The funding, time, and resources the proposal asks for are justified by the breadth of the potential impact. In other words, the proposal uses the most avant-garde, efficient, and effective methods available to accomplish the goal. (Because this is a high school level competition, students are *not required* to include a budget in their proposal.)

*Grade on a scale from 1-9 where 9 is the highest score possible. Please **score in each category to the tenth** e.g. 7.1 or 3.8.
Add up these values to get your overall score.*

Significance	Feasibility	Innovation	Approach
[9.0]	[8.8]	[8.8]	[9.0]

OVERALL

[35.6]

Judge's comments: [Well written and well explained. You mentioned the limitations associated with your capabilities in the lab. The chemistry was impressively covered. A figure or sketch showing how the bacteria would be on the bottle would have provided readers with a greater

understanding of the big picture. References validated and supported your ideas and you covered the background thoroughly.]